Simulation of Water Supply and Demand in the Aral Sea Region

by P. Raskin, E. Hansen, and Z. Zhu, M. IWRA Stockholm Environment Institute – Boston Center 89 Broad Street, 14th Floor BOSTON M-A 021 IO U.S.A. and D. Stavisky, Institute of Geography, Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia (currently Stockholm Environment Institute – Boston Center)

ABSTRACT

The Aral Sea, a huge saline lake located in the arid south-central region of the former U.S.S.R., is vanishing because the inflows from its two feed rivers, the Amudar'ya and Syrdar'ya, have diminished radically over the past three decades. The loss of river flow is the result of massive increases in river withdrawals, primarily for cotton irrigation in the basins. A microcomputer model, the Water Evaluation and Planning System (WEAP), has been developed for simulating current water balances and evaluating water management strategies in the Aral Sea region. WEAP treats water demand and supply issues in a comprehensive and integrated fashion. The scenario approach allows flexible representation of the consequences of alternative development patterns and supply dynamics. For the Aral region's complex water systems, a detailed water demand and supply simulation was performed for the 1987-2020 period, assuming that the current practices continue. The analysis provides a picture of an unfolding and deepening crisis. Policy scenarios incorporating remedial actions will be reported in a separate paper

INTRODUCTION

The Aral Sea, a saline lake located in the arid south-central region of the former U.S.S.R. is vanishing (Fig. 1). Once the fourth largest lake in the world by area, the Aral Sea today is nearing half of its surface area in 1960, less than one-third its previous size by volume. If current patterns continue, the lake will diminish to several residual lifeless brine lakes next century.

The Aral is shrinking because the flows from its two feed rivers, the Amudar'ya and Syrdar'ya, have decreased from over 50 km³ per year thirty years ago to a mere trickle. The loss of river flow is the result of massive increases in river withdrawals, primarily for irrigation, along the river basins. The two rivers begin at the Pamir and Tianshan plateaus, plunge downward into the desert of the Central Asian republics and terminate at the Aral Sea. Since the 1960s an immense system of dams and reservoirs has been developed in the region. Today, the Aral basin is an astonishingly complex web of canals, impoundments, irrigation fields, and water engineering facilities. The waters in the two rivers are the lifeblood of the agricultural economies in five Central Asian republics of the former U.S.S.R.: Turkmen, Uzbek, Tadzhik, Kirgiz, and Kazakh, supporting 7.6 million hectares of irrigated crops. The current patterns of water use and the recession of the lake has generated multiple environmental and economic problems [I-5]. The scale of these problems is substantial, covering an area of 3.5 million km² and affecting some 35 million inhabitants in the five republics. There is an international consensus that the situation is not ecologically sustainable and comprehensive strategies for altering water development patterns are needed.

Beyond the deterioration of the lake and the loss of its fishing industry, there are other serious impacts. For example, the recession of the sea has created a huge area — about $30,000 \text{ km}^2$ — of salt on the former lake bed, Toxic to humans and deleterious to crops, the salt is whipped up by winds and carried over wide areas. The ecology of the river deltas has been seriously degraded as the surrounding water

Water International, 17 (1992) 55-67



Figure 1. A map of the Aral Sea Region.

table falls along with the sea, and river flow diminishes. In addition, regional climate may be changing as the modulating influence of the Aral diminishes with its size, with summers and winters apparently becoming more severe [2]. Shorter growing seasons, compounded by soil salinization and salt storm deposits, would expand water shortages by further increasing the requirements for water. Last, but not least, there is great concern that deteriorating water quality will lead to a deepening public health crisis.

Regional climate may be changing as the modulating influence of the Aral diminishes

A microcomputer model, the *Water Evaluation and Planning System* (WEAP), was developed for evaluating alternative water development policy options in complex systems such as the Aral Sea region [6]. Employing the scenario approach, the WEAP model provides a structured approach to integrated water demand-supply analysis.

This paper presents results of a "business-as-usual" simulation of the region's water supply for the 1987-2020 period, assuming that the current practices

continue. Development and evaluation of alternative water policy scenarios will be reported in future papers. In this paper, we focus on illustrating the magnitude of the problem and the challenge for devising sustainable water strategies for the Aral region.

CURRENT WATER DEMAND AND SUPPLY

Comprising lowland deserts and mountains, the Aral region has a climate characterized by high evapotranspiration and severely arid conditions. Annual precipitation is less than 100 mm in the southwest deserts and about 200 mm approaching the foothills of the southeastern mountains. However, the region has favorable thermal conditions for the growth of cotton and other heat-loving crops: the average noontime temperature during growing seasons (May-September) reaches 20-45°C and the average daily temperature in July is 35°C [7]. Although thin and infertile, soil in the region is easily tilled and productive for certain crops with the application of supplementary water. These favorable conditions have provided the natural base for intensive irrigated agricultural development, particularly the large scale production of cotton in the Aral region.

The Amudar'ya and Syrdar'ya basins have some 30 primary tributaries (Figs. 2 and 3). More than 20



Figure 2. Scheme of the Amudar'ya Basin.

One of the most complicated human water development systems in the world

large and middle sized reservoirs and 60 canals of different sizes have been constructed in the two basins since the 1950s [8-10]. The Karakum canal, constructed in 1950s as a centerpiece of Soviet plans to expand cotton production, diverts water from Amudar'ya with a maximum flow of 320 m³ per second over 840 kilometers to the vast Karakum desert. In addition, approximately ten per cent of supplies are from groundwater sources. The region's water system is one of the most complicated human water development systems in the world.

In designing the-schematic representation of the two basins, we have aimed for as much detail as possible in characterizing both demand and supply sources, subject to the availability of field data. Referring to Figs. 2 and 3, the representations consist of the following main elements: • Distribution Systems A distribution system represents water users in a common geographic area with shared water sources. In the current representation, distribution systems are identified with "irrigation systems" that are used for allocating water in the Aral ,region. There are 23 distribution systems identified for Amudar'ya, and 6 for Syrdar'ya. Irrigation systems at the lower Amudar'ya area are further separated into twelve districts (indicated by the naming convention "administrative district/irrigation system," e.g., Horezm/ Tash-Saka). Water demand in each distribution system is subdivided by major sectors: irrigation (further partitioned by crop type and irrigation technique), industry (by type), municipal (by urban and rural), fishery, and livestock.

*Main River and Tributaries These are the primary water conduits in the region. Stream flows are estimated along every tributary and the main rivers on a monthly basis. Account is taken of inflows, outflows, withdrawals, evaporative losses, and groundwater interactions. There are five types of river nodes: reservoir node, withdrawal node, diversion node, confluence node and tributary node.



Figure 3. Scheme of the Syrdar'ya Basin.

Each is simulated according to its operating rule. For instance, WEAP's reservoir operating rule takes into account a reservoir's inflow, storage capacity, surface evaporation, withdrawal at the reservoir, hydroelectric generation, and downstream release requirements. In-stream flow requirements for maintaining, for example, environmental quality also may be specified.

- *Local Supply Sources* These include run-of-river pumping stations, groundwater aquifers, rainwater collection, and reservoirs on rivers that are hydrologically independent of the main river system. In WEAP, withdrawal demands are met by local sources with residual requirements assigned to any river linkages.
- ⁹ *Links between Distribution Systems and Supply Sources* Transmission links between demand sites and supply sources are identified in the system representation. Each distribution system may be supplied by a maximum of twelve sources with links to ten "local" sources, one tributary node and one main river node. Capacity constraints and conduit losses are taken into account.

1987 Water Demand

Water accounts have been estimated for the year 1987, the most recent year for which comprehensive data is available. Water demands for that year are summarized in Table 1, broken down by each sector for each distribution system. The total water demand for the Aral region is 97.32 km³. Of this total, 53.55 km³ is demanded from the Amudar'ya basin, and 43.77 km³ from the Syrdar'ya basin. Water demands are dominated by the agriculture sector, accounting for 82 per cent of the total demand.

The region's irrigated areas by type of crop are summarized in Table 2 [11]. The total irrigated area of the region in 1987 was 7.6 million hectares, with 4.3 million hectares located in the Amudar'ya basin, and 3.3 million hectares in the Syrdar'ya basin. Water demand shares by crop types in the two basins are presented in Fig. 4. Cotton is the major crop, accounting for 51 per cent of the agricultural water demand in Amudar'ya, and 34 per cent in Syrdar'ya. The Soviet Union has been the second largest cotton producer in the world, producing over 90 per cent of its fiber in the Aral region. Clearly, strategies for

| Distribution System | Agriculture | Industry | Municipal | Livestock | Fishery | Total |
|------------------------|-------------|----------|-----------|-----------|---------|-------|
| Amudar'ya Basin | | | | | | |
| Pyandz | 1.11 | 0.05 | 0.22 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 1.42 |
| Vahsh | 2.48 | 0.08 | 0.30 | 0.03 | 0.19 | 3.08 |
| Kafirnigan | 1.40 | 0.03 | 0.25 | 0.01 | 0.45 | 2.14 |
| Surh-Sherabad | 3.19 | 0.00 | 0.27 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 3.50 |
| Afghanistan | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Karakum | 7.22 | 1.69 | 0.41 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 9.41 |
| Kashkadraya | 5.56 | 0.05 | 0.40 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 6.07 |
| Bukhara-Zerafshan | 8.89 | 0.66 | 0.65 | 0.11 | 0.04 | 10.35 |
| Cardzou | 2.39 | 0.17 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 2.81 |
| Horezm | 2.60 | 0.05 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 2.85 |
| Tashaus | 2.59 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 2.69 |
| KKAR | 7.37 | 0.20 | 0.12 | 0.03 | 1.51 | 9.23 |
| Amu Total | 44.80 | 2.98 | 2.98 | 0.24 | 2.55 | 53.55 |
| Percentage | 84 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 100 |
| Syrdar'ya Basin | | | | | | |
| High Narin | 2.11 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 2.22 |
| Fergana Valley | 12.48 | 0.31 | 1.31 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 14.17 |
| Middle Syrdar'ya | 7.45 | 2.14 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 10.04 |
| CHAKIR | 5.17 | 2.30 | 1.39 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 8.98 |
| ARTUR | 2.11 | 0.21 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 2.59 |
| Lower Syrdar'ya | 5.26 | 0.07 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.33 | 5.77 |
| Syr Total | 34.58 | 5.04 | 3.32 | 0.00 | 0.83 | 43.77 |
| Percentage | 79 | 12 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 100 |
| Aral Total | 79.38 | 8.02 | 6.30 | 0.24 | 3.38 | 97.32 |
| Percentage | 82 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 100 |

Table 1. 1987 Water Demand of the Aral Region (Unit: km³).

Note: percentage figures may not total correctly, due to rounding.

| Table 2. | 1987 | Irrigation | Areas | (Unit: | 1,000 | hectares). |
|----------|------|------------|-------|--------|-------|------------|
|----------|------|------------|-------|--------|-------|------------|

| | Cotton | Rice | Wheat | Maize | Cereals | Potato | Veg. | Melon | Fodder | Vineyd. | Orchard | Total |
|-------------------|--------|-------|-------|-------|---------|--------|-------|-------|--------|---------|---------|--------|
| Amudar'ya Basin | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pyandz | 55.0 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 3.7 | 1.7 | 29.6 | 5.3 | 11.7 | 116.0 |
| Vahsh | 123.2 | 3.4 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 8.3 | 3.9 | 66.3 | 11.8 | 26.3 | 260.0 |
| Kafimigan | 61.6 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 4.1 | 2.0 | 33.2 | 5.9 | 13.1 | 130.0 |
| Surh-Sherabad | 199.3 | 8.5 | 12.3 | 6.9 | 6.1 | 2.9 | 7.4 | 3.3 | 74.4 | 15.7 | 24.2 | 361.0 |
| Kashkadraya | 310.5 | 0.1 | 28.0 | 9.7 | 18.1 | 4.1 | 13.7 | 8.1 | 129.7 | 30.9 | 32.1 | 585.0 |
| Bukhara-Zerafshan | 443.9 | 20.1 | 18.1 | 18.0 | 11.9 | 5.1 | 17.7 | 10.9 | 184.2 | 37.1 | 44.0 | 811.0 |
| Cardzou | 175.4 | 0.0 | 17.5 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 7.8 | 11.4 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 8.1 | 241.0 |
| Karakum | 507.2 | 0.0 | 50.5 | 32.1 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 22.4 | 32.9 | 0.0 | 25.3 | 23.4 | 697.0 |
| Horezm | 120.6 | 29:0 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 4.4 | 4.9 | 55.8 | 1.3 | 9.1 | 230.5 |
| Tashaus | 208.7 | 0.0 | 20.8 | 13.2 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 9.2 | 13.5 | 0.0 | 10.4 | 9.6 | 286.8 |
| KKAR | 237.7 | 125.7 | 0.9 | 14.9 | 0.9 | 2.0 | 6.8 | 11.2 | 173.6 | 1.2 | 13.8 | 588.6 |
| Amu Total | 2443.1 | 190.0 | 157.9 | 116.4 | 45.7 | 28.9 | 105.6 | 103.8 | 746.7 | 153.6 | 215.4 | 4307.0 |
| Percentage | 57 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 17 | 4 | 5 | 100 |
| Syrdar'ya Basin | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| High Narin | 82.0 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 5.5 | 2.6 | 44.1 | 7.9 | 17.5 | 173.1 |
| Fergana Valley | 787.6 | 10.9 | 31.8 | 40.3 | 23.2 | 11.2 | 32.6 | 12.6 | 272.8 | 38.8 | 103.9 | 1365.6 |
| Middle Syrdar'ya | 288.5 | 54.4 | 17.5 | 23.5 | 11.8 | 4.0 | 11.0 | 10.7 | 207.4 | 20.7 | 30.9 | 680.4 |
| CHAKIR | 142.9 | 49.3 | 10.8 | 15.9 | 5.3 | 6.3 | 16.2 | 7.0 | 162.3 | 14.3 | 32.4 | 462.7 |
| ARTUR | 28.9 | 32.9 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 2.9 | 3.5 | 79.6 | 4.3 | 8.6 | 173.1 |
| Lower Syrdar'ya | 78.3 | 88.9 | 26.9 | 26.9 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 5.5 | 7.3 | 186.2 | 7.4 | 14.9 | 445.1 |
| Svr Total | 1408.4 | 238.6 | 95.3 | 115.0 | 43.0 | 28.4 | 73.7 | 43.7 | 952.5 | 93.3 | 208.1 | 3300.0 |
| Percentage | 43 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 29 | 3 | 6 | 100 |
| Aral Total | 3851.4 | 428.6 | 253.2 | 231.3 | 88.7 | 57.2 | 179.4 | 147.5 | 1699.2 | 246.9 | 423.5 | 7607.0 |
| Percentage | 51 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 22 | 3 | 6 | 100 |





Figure 4. 1987 agricultural water demand shares.

rectifying the water situation in the Aral region are coupled to strategies for cotton: how much, what type, what technologies? Fodder crops account for the second largest requirement, at 29 per cent and 19 per cent of agricultural water demands in the Amudar'ya and Syrdar'ya basins, respectively, It is also notable that water-intensive rice production accounts for 19 per cent and 12 per cent, respectively, of agriculture water demands. The demands for agriculture are built up at the distribution level by multiplying irrigation areas by water application rates [12]. Estimated on-farm water application rates are included in Table 3. These figures are comparable to U.S. rates. In Arizona, where the climatic conditions are similar to the Aral region, the on-farm annual water application rates are of the same order of magnitude: 14,000 m³ for cotton, 9,000 m³ for corn, and 12,000 m^3 for potatoes [13].

Water demands for industry (Table 1) are far less than for agriculture, approximately 6 per cent in Amudar'ya and 12 per cent in Syrdar'ya. Depending on economic development strategies in the future,

Water demands for industry ... are far less than for agriculture

industrial demands may become more significant with time. Industrial demands are built up at the distribution system level from estimates of production output and water use rates. Industrial water demands are currently dominated by the electric power sector.

Municipal water demands comprise about 6 per cent of total demand in the Aral region, as estimated from population and water use data at the administrative district level and allocated to distribution systems. The final two water demand sectors are Livestock and Fishery. As reported in Table 1, known water demands for livestock are quite small, while fisheries account for some 3 per cent of overall water demands.

These water demands discussed above are for final use. They represent the water required by the final user for crop growth, industrial processes, domestic consumption, and so on. To convert these final demands to the actual water supply requirements, WEAP allows for three adjustments to water demands. The first adjustment takes into account the distribution losses in each distribution system. For an irrigation system, a considerable amount of water delivered to the field will not be used by the crop root zone due to field evaporation and deep percolation. The second adjustment accounts for water recycling or reuse. This refers to processes by which water may be used in more than one application before discharge. For example, irrigation water may be routed for reuse in more than one field. The effect of recycling is to reduce the water required from primary water sources. The third adjustment is for water transmission loss. This refers to the evaporative and infiltration losses of water in the canals and conduits carrying the water to a distribution system. Unfortunately, at this stage, our data are insufficient to distinguish the distribution losses from transmission losses, and these two factors are combined in the current estimates. The total withdrawal requirements in the two basins in 1987 were estimated as 127 km³ (70 km³ for Amudar'ya and 57 km³ for Syrdar'ya), or 130 per cent of the estimated final demand.

198 7 Water Supply

Major surface and groundwater sources are identified in Figs. 2 and 3. In WEAP, surface water is tracked from the flows entering the system through various river nodes. Stream virgin flow data of 1987, which was a wet year for the Aral region, is collected in Table 4 [14]. The total surface water resources in the region comprised 132 km³, of which 84 km³ were from the Amudar'ya basin, and 48 km³ from the Syrdar'ya basin. The 1987 virgin flow figures of Amudar'ya and Syrdar'ya are equivalent to four times and 2.3 times, respectively, the average virgin flow of the Colorado River. On average, the annual surface

| Table 3. 198 | 7 On-Farm | Water | Application | Rates | (Unit: | m3/ha/yr). |
|--------------|-----------|-------|-------------|-------|--------|------------|
|--------------|-----------|-------|-------------|-------|--------|------------|

| | Cotton | Rice | Other Cereals | Potato & Vegetables | Melons | Fodder | Vineyard | Orchards |
|--------------------|--------|-------|------------------|------------------------|--------|--------|----------|----------|
| Amudar'ya Basin | | | | | | | | |
| Pyandz | 8700 | 24900 | 7200 | 11600 | 5600 | 11400 | 7330 | 8530 |
| Vahsh | 8700 | 24900 | 7200 | 11600 | 4900 | 11400 | 7330 | 8530 |
| Kafimigan | 9900 | 26800 | 8200 | 13200 | 6200 | 12900 | 8230 | 9630 |
| Surh-Sherabad | 8200 | 27900 | 7000 | 10500 | 5800 | 10300 | 7610 | 8510 |
| Kashkadraya-Karshi | 9100 | 30800 | 8300 | 11700 | 7000 | 11300 | 8070 | 9070 |
| Bukhara-Zerafshan | 10100 | 32400 | 9100 | 12800 | 7400 | 12500 | 8960 | 9960 |
| Cardzou | 10100 | 32400 | 9100 | 12800 | 7400 | 12500 | 8960 | 9960 |
| Karakum | 10600 | 33400 | 9200 | 13500 | 7500 | 13300 | 9590 | 10790 |
| Horezm | 8300 | 29200 | 7900 | 10500 | 6200 | 10300 | 7330 | 8230 |
| Tashaus | 8300 | 29200 | 7900 | 10500 | 6200 | 10300 | 7330 | 8230 |
| KKAR | 7500 | 28000 | 7600 | 9600 | 5800 | 9500 | 6540 | 7440 |
| Syrdar'ya Basin | | | | | | | | |
| High Narin | 7400 | 22700 | 7700 | 9900 | 5500 | 9700 | 6300 | 8400 |
| Fergana Valley | 8500 | 24800 | 7700 | 11400 | 6000 | 11200 | 7100 | 8400 |
| Middle Syrdar'ya | 8700 | 29700 | 8100 | 11000 | 6800 | 10800 | 7770 | 8670 |
| CHAKIR | 8500 | 24800 | 7700 | 11400 | 6000 | 11200 | 7100 | 8400 |
| ARTUR | 7400 | 27900 | 7200 | 9500 | 5700 | 9400 | 6640 | 7440 |
| Lower Syrdar'ya | 7500 | 26700 | 7400 | 8900 | 5600 | 8800 | 6240 | 6940 |

Table 4. 1987 Surface Water Sources of the Aral Region (Unit: million m³).

| | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. | Sent. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Year |
|---------------------|------|------|------|-----------|-------|-------|--------|---------|-------|------|------|---------|--------|
| Syrdar'ya Basin | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Toktogal res. | 313 | 290 | 375 | 467 | 1457 | 2514 | 3134 | 1861 | 832 | 611 | 500 | 442 | 12797 |
| Karasu left | 16 | 12 | 13 | 18 | 48 | 65 | 83 | 70 | 49 | 40 | 31 | 24 | 470 |
| Karasu right | 45 | 54 | 72 | 256 | 415 | 335 | 213 | 123 | 83 | 76 | 76 | 60 | 1809 |
| Shaydansay | 2 | 2 | 7 | 17 | 22 | 16 | 13 | 11 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 112 |
| Karadarya trib. | 191 | 215 | 554 | 1325 | 2086 | 2190 | 1814 | 1039 | 468 | 446 | 522 | 436 | 11284 |
| Kassansay res. | 4 | 5 | 5 | 15 | 69 | 77 | 53 | 28 | 12 | 10 | 11 | 6 | 301 |
| Abshirsay | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 75 | 51 | 27 | 11 | 12 | 8 | 0 | 284 |
| Kurvasay | | 27 | | 26 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 | | 0 |
| Isfayramsay | 29 | | 27 | | 21 | 119 | 201 | 153 | 78 | 59 | 26 | 46 | 869 |
| Shahimardan | 13 | 7 | 8 | 10 | | 41 | 67 | 54 | 34 | 27 | - | 21 | 335 |
| Isfarasfara | ĨĨ | 24 | 24 | 31 | 24 | 62 | 123 | 137 | 52 | 24 | 54 | 16 | 490 |
| sokh | 32 | | | 81 | 75 | 194 | 375 | 412 | 171 | 75 | 38 | 43 | 1512 |
| Right tributaries | 13 | 12 | 22 | | 207 | 220 | 167 | 152 | 93 | 41 | | 30 | 1077 |
| Aksu total | 16 | 16 | 15 | 17 | 20 | 34 | 52 | 32 | 20 | 24 | 19 | 15 | 281 |
| Kattasay | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | _0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Sanzar | 3 | 2 | 5 | 16 | 16 | Å | 6 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 72 |
| Shirinsay | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2617 | v | 6 | 817 | 4 | 4 | Ũ | 48 |
| CHAKIR total | 266 | 259 | 446 | 1098 | 2160 | 2017 | 2312 | 1646 | 017 | 519 | 424 | 377 | 12941 |
| Aris and Bugun | 172 | 136 | 208 | 449 | 391 | 361 | 309 | 210 | 125 | 107 | 96 | 95 | 2658 |
| Lower Syrdar'ya | 56 | 41 | 89 | 145 | 61 | 16 | 15 | 10 | 125 | 9 | 6 | 8 | 464 |
| Syr Total | 1193 | 1120 | 1889 | 3997 | 7197 | 8949 | 8993 | 5972 | 2863 | 2091 | 1898 | 1641 | 47803 |
| Amudar'ya Basin | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Vahsh | 458 | 414 | 597 | 1143 | 2137 | 3603 | 4446 | 4178 | 2136 | 1117 | 798 | 637 | 21665 |
| Pyandz | 1079 | 975 | 2036 | 2929 | 3884 | 5780 | 6803 | 5544 | 3525 | 2119 | 1674 | 1253 | 37602 |
| Kunduz | 122 | 127 | 149 | 272 | 350 | 638 | 616 | 513 | 290 | 193 | 140 | 125 | 3535 |
| Kafimigan trib. | 166 | 173 | 561 | 998 | 1413 | 1463 | 1109 | 693 | 349 | 339 | 266 | "213 | 7741 |
| Surhan and Sherabad | 90 | 93 | 321 | 691 | 996 | 1010 | 610 | 384 | 168 | 159 | 137 | 100 | 4759 |
| Murgab | 75 | 60 | 137 | 231 | 182 | 140 | 59 | 40 | 65 | 88 | 88 | 99 | 1264 |
| Tedien | 0 | 0 | 19 | 137 | 27 | 9 | Ó | -0 0 | 05 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1204 |
| Artek | 13 | 8 | 21 | 57 | - 7 | 4 | ů 3 | 23 | 0 | 22 | 24 | 29 | 212 |
| Kashkadraya | 33 | 36 | 160 | 265 | 236 | 250 | 191 | 103 | 55 | 38 | 35 | 30 | 1431 |
| Guzadarya | 3 | 2 | 100 | 203 67 | 33 | 230 | 101 | 6 | 6 | 9 | 8 | 30 7 | 203 |
| Zerafshan | 118 | 95 | 178 | 237 | 426 | 984 | 1298 | 1158 | 560 | 287 | 211 | 163 | 5716 |
| Amu Total | 2157 | 1983 | 4195 | 7027 | 9692 | 13908 | 15152 | 12643 | 7153 | 4372 | 3380 | 2658 | 84320 |
| Aral Total | 3350 | 3103 | 6084 | 11024 | 16889 | 22857 | 24145 | 18615 | 10016 | 6463 | 5278 | 4299 | 132123 |

water resources of the Aral region account for some 120 km^3 [15].

As seen in Table 5, the region's groundwater withdrawal in 1987 accounted for 12.3 km^3 , 4 km^3 in the Amudar'ya basin and 8.3 km^3 in the Syrdar'ya basin. Evaluating the role of ground water in the region's water budget is complex and, due to limited data, detailed physical interactions between surface water and groundwater are not included at the current stage. Groundwater patterns need more clarification in future analysis.

Water losses on river sections from evaporation and infiltration and returned water from demand sites are taken into account in WEAP. Reservoir water storage and release are simulated by user-defined operating rules. Characteristics of the main reservoirs in the region are summarized in Table 6 [16-18].

PROJECI'IONS

An important concept of WEAP is the distinction between a "business-as-usual" scenario and alternative policy scenarios. The "business-as-usual" scenario incorporates currently identifiable trends in economic and demographic development, water supply availability, water use efficiency, water pricing policy, and other aspects. No new water conservation measures or supply projects are included in the "business-asusual" scenario. The "business-as-usual" analysis provides a reference against which the effects of alternative policy scenarios may be assessed.

Water Demand Projection

In the past three decades there have been tremendous efforts in water demand projections [19-22]. In

Table 5. 1987 Groundwater Sources of the Aral Region (Unit: million **m**³).

| Syrdar'ya Basin | |
|----------------------|-------|
| High Narin | 1000 |
| Fergana Valley | 4800 |
| Middle Syrdar'ya | 1000 |
| CHAKIR | 1000 |
| ARTUR | 250 |
| Lower Syrdar'ya | 250 |
| Syr Total | 8300 |
| Amudar'ya Basin | |
| Pyandz | 173 |
| Vahsh | 275 |
| Kafimigan | 459 |
| Surhandarya | 416 |
| Kashkadarya & Karshi | 299 |
| Zerafshan & Buhara | 1030 |
| Cardzou | 414 |
| Karakum | 591 |
| Lower Amudarya | 343 |
| Amu Total | 4000 |
| Aral Total | 12300 |

general, water demand forecasting approaches fall into four broad categories, each with advantages and limitations: time extrapolation, single coefficient methods, multiple coefficient methods, and probabilistic analysis.

WEAP provides a flexible and detailed structure for water demand forecasting. It is designed to allow the inclusion of a full array of possible demand-side measures. A multiple-level structure is used in WEAP to manage demand data: Sector, Subsector, End-use, Device, and Use-rate. For example, under the agriculture sector, irrigation areas for each crop are defined at the **Subsector** level; fractions of irrigation area in each subregion are measured at the **End-use** level; irrigation techniques used in each subregion are identified at the **Device** level; and water use rates are defined at the bottom level. At each level, activities can be driven by user-specified development targets.

The full complexity of the WEAP demand forecasting structure is being used to develop a range of policy scenarios for the Aral region. However, the rapidly changing political and economic situation in these Central Asian republics — and limited sources of credible data — hamper our exercises. In this paper our task is more straightforward: to introduce the current water accounts and a "business-as-usual" reference projection based on the continuation of current patterns. For the latter purpose, we rely primarily on population growth as demand driving variable. These results provide a benchmark for the more complex policy-oriented demand scenarios.

Hydrological Fluctuations

Hydrological fluctuation patterns are important in estimating future water availability. WEAP is designed to incorporate historic fluctuations to represent future patterns. However, time series data for many elements of the Aral basin are not available. River flows have been altered with the extensive irrigation development and many hydrological records cannot serve as proxies for historic hydrological patterns. Therefore, while WEAP is designed to utilize historic time series data for the general cases, a second, simpler option has also been built into the model for the Aral Sea case.

In the simpler method, five categorizes of watertype years, **Very Wet, Wet, Normal, Dry,** and **Very Dry, are** used to represent hydrological patterns. These five water-type years correspond to different hydro-

Many hydrological records cannot serve as proxies for historic hydrological patterns

| Reservoir | River Basin | Year of Construction | Maximum Surface Area (km*) | Maximum Storage (10 ⁶ m ³) | Dead Volume (10 ⁶ m ³) | Evaporation Rate (mm/year) |
|--|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| Aumdar'ya Basin | | | | | | |
| Tuyamuyun Nurek Kattakurgan South-Surhan Chimkurgan Pachkamar | Amu-dar'ya Vahsh Zerafshan Surhan-dar' ya Kashka-dar'ya Guza-dar'ya | 1985 1975 1952/1968 1964 1963 1968 | 650 98 84.5 64.6 49.2 14.2 | $7230 \\10500 \\900 \\800 \\500 \\260$ | 2390 6000 60 240 50 10 | 2000 1000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 |
| Syrdar'ya Basin | | | | | | |
| Toktogul Chardara Kayrakkum Andigan Charvak Ahangaran Tuyabuguz CHAKIR rsv. Bugun Kassansay Karkidon Dgizak Kattasay Nayman | Narin Syr-Dar' ya Syr-Dar'ya Kara-Dar'ya Chirchik Ahangaran Ahangaran CHAKIR Bugun Kassansay Kurvasay Sanzar Kattasay Kirgizata | 1974 1965 1956 1980 1970 1974 1960 1970 1956 1963 1963 1965 1965 | 284 900 513 59 40.3 8.1 20.7 69.1 63.5 11 9.5 12.5 2.9 3.2 | 19500 5700 4030 1790 1990 180 260 2430 370 270 218 90 60 39.5 | 5500 1000 1480 150 300 40 350 7 20 7 0 0 1.5 | $ \begin{array}{r} 1000\\ 200\\$ |

Table 6. Characteristics of Selected Reservoirs.

logical occurrence probabilities in conventional frequency analyses. The frequency analysis of an annual inflow record at a representative river point provides a sequence of water-type years. This sequence may then be adjusted to explore alternative assumptions on future hydrological patterns. From the monthly

inflow record at the selected river point, average monthly inflows for each water-type year are calculated and the ratios of monthly fluctuations for the four nonnormal years to the normal year are then computed. For every supply source, the base year (the first year in the planning period) monthly inflows are input as data, while values for the future year monthly inflowsare set by the water-type sequence by applying appropriate monthly fluctuation coefficients to the base year inflows.

In this study, monthly inflow data of 1950-1982 at the Tupolang (on Amudar'ya River) and the Narin (on Syrdar'ya River) gauging stations were used in estimating the two basins' water-type sequences during the 19882020 period. Through frequency analyses, the five water-types, Very wet, Wet, Normal, Dry, and Very Dry defined in this study, correspond, respectively, to occurrence probabilities of 0-1 0%, 1 0-30%, 30-75%, 75-95%, and 95-100%. Because many smaller tributaries don't have time series data, we can only assume that the two defined sequences are reasonable approximations for the entire Amudar'ya and Syrdar'ya basins. Though this method assumes hydrological homogeneity across each of the two basins, it reduces the requirements for historical data while permitting explorations of future water patterns that deviate from historical patterns due, for example, to climate alternations.

Simulation Results

Like other streamflow simulation models, the principle of mass balance guides the water flows through the system in WEAP [23-26]. At each river node, the incoming water is balanced by the outgoing water plus the retained water at the node. Outgoing water is the water diverted, either for demands or other purposes, plus the flow conveyed downstream. Between nodes, evaporation from the stream surface, interaction with groundwater aquifer, and return flows from distribution systems affect the water balance. Each system element, such as a reservoir, has a defined governing rule in passing, releasing, and allocating water. Unlike these models, however, WEAP addresses both the supply and demand issues in an integrated fashion. Demands drive the water allocations among supply sources and demand sites. Detailed demand management strategies as well as the full range of supply development options are incorporated in the model. WEAP provides optional water allocation schemes, one based on priorities and another based on equitable allocation, and flexible reports in various tabular and graphic forms [6].

Table 7 presents the annual average water demand coverage — the ratio of supply available to demand at each demand site in selected future years. When the coverage value is one, the demand is fully supplied; otherwise, only the indicated portion of the demand

Table 7. Projected Demand Coverage in Selected Years.

| | 1987 | 1995 | 2000 | 2010 | 2020 |
|-------------------|----------|----------|--------|----------|--------|
| Amudar'ya Basin | Very Wet | Very Dry | Normal | Normal | Normal |
| Pyandz | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Vahsh | 1.00 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.00 |
| Kafimigan | 1.00 | 0.84 | 0.96 | 0.95 | 0.94 |
| Surh-Sherabad | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Afghanistan | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Karakum | 1.00 | 0.94 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Kashkadraya | 1.00 | 0.81 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Bukhara-Zerafshan | 1.00 | 0.52 | 0.95 | 0.94 | 0.93 |
| Cardzou | 1.00 | 0.31 | 0.96 | 0.90 | 0.89 |
| Lower Amudar'ya | 0.99 | 0.11 | 0.62 | 0.61 | 0.62 |
| Syrdar'ya Basin | Wet | Very Dry | Normal | Very Dry | Dry |
| High Narin | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.66 | 0.74 |
| Fergana Valley | 1.00 | 0.88 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 0.91 |
| Middle Syrdar'ya | 1.00 | 0.76 | 0.88 | 0.60 | 0.64 |
| CHAKIR | 0.98 | 0.76 | 0.94 | 0.57 | 0.69 |
| ARTUR | 1.00 | 0.81 | 0.99 | 0.74 | 0.84 |
| Lower Syrdar'ya | 0.77 | 0.30 | 0.44 | 0.25 | 0.22 |

is met. Coverage is less than or equal to one, since supplies are driven by demands in the model and redundant water is not sent from supply sources to distribution systems.

In the Amudar'ya basin, upstream distribution systems would be mostly satisfied in the selected years, while downstream areas after Kashkadar'ya canal (Fig. 2) such as Bukhara-Zerafshan and Cardzou would face water shortages. In the assumed Very Dry year of 1995, only 3 1 per cent of Cardzou's demand and 52 per cent of Bukhara-Zerafshan's demand could be met. For the Lower Amudar'ya, users could only expect to get 11 per cent of required water in the Very Dry year and about 61 per cent of supply in the *Normal* years. For the Syrdar'ya basin, the situation would be more serious than for the Amudar'ya basin. During the Very Dry and Dry years, water supply shortages would occur in almost every distribution system. The Lower Syrdar'ya users, even in the Wet year of 1987, could not fully satisfy their water requirements. They could only satisfy 44 per cent supplies during the Normal years and no more than 30 per cent supplies during the Dry and Very **Dry** years. The shortages for downstream users may be alleviated to a small degree if upstream users are forced to reduce their withdrawals, but this would only spread the unmet demand problem with the overall water shortage situation remaining. While water allocation in the region has been a source of contention since 1980s these projections suggest that the problems, if current patterns are allowed to persist, will only deepen. Withdrawal treaties between the upstream and downstream users along the two river basins, similar to the Colorado River Compact, are urgently needed in the near future.

The simulated annual stream flows entering the Aral Sea from the two rivers are displayed in Table 8. The Aral Sea inflow is projected to average 3.32

km³ from 1990 to 2000,2.99 km³ from 2000 to 2010, and 2.54 km³ from 2010 to 2020, a continuing downward trend. When looking at monthly stream flows in drier years, as in Fig. 5, the seriousness of the situation is underscored. There would be two extremely low-flow periods, January-March and June-September, during which no stream flow would enter the Aral Sea. In a drier year, there would be almost 6 consecutive dry months. As can be seen from the figure, most of the annual stream flow would reach the Aral Sea in spring, with little during the summer seasons. These undesirable patterns suggest that better system operation is needed for the region's water storage and regulating facilities.

By applying these projected stream flows to the Aral Sea, we have calculated the water budgets of the Sea and simulated the future changes of water level and surface area of the Aral (Fig. 6). The Aral Sea's surface area would decrease from its 1987 level of 40.78 km² to 9.41 km² in 2015, while its water level would drop from 40 meters to 26.8 meters. It is clear that without any action to reduce the demands or to increase the supplies in the future, the sea would continue to shrink at roughly the same rate as it did in the 1980s devolving into one or several residual brine lakes.

DIRECTIONS FOR POLICY SCENARIOS

One of the primary objectives of our study is to examine alternative future development scenarios for the Aral region. Using the "business-as-usual" projections as a point of departure, the next step in the project involves the creation of a number of **policy scenarios**, or alternative water futures incorporating a wide range of possible measures that alter "business-

| | Amudar'ya | | Syrdar'ya | | T |
|------|------------|--------------|------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Water-type | Flow to Aral | Water-type | Flow to Aral | Total to Ara |
| 1987 | Very Wet | 6.28 | Wet | 2.51 | 8.79 |
| 1988 | Normal | 1.96 | Normal | 1.18 | 3.14 |
| 1989 | Normal | 1.89 | Normal | 1.14 | 3.03 |
| 1990 | Normal | 1.88 | Very Wet | 2.13 | 4.01 |
| 1991 | Wet | 2.53 | Normal | 1.17 | 3.70 |
| 1992 | Very Wet | 3.51 | Normal | 1.13 | 4.64 |
| 1993 | Normal | 1.93 | Wet | 1.19 | 3.12 |
| 1994 | Normal | 1.87 | Very Wet | 2.38 | 4.25 |
| 1995 | Very Dry | 0.69 | Very Dry | 1.23 | 1.92 |
| 1996 | Normal | 1.86 | Dry | 0.91 | 2.77 |
| 1997 | Normal | 1.85 | Normal | 1.07 | 2.92 |
| 1998 | Normal | 1.85 | Normal | 1.09 | 2.94 |
| 1999 | Normal | 1.84 | Normal | 1.08 | 2.92 |
| 2000 | Normal | 1.84 | Normal | 1.08 | 2.92 |
| 2001 | Normal | 1.83 | Normal | 1.07 | 2.90 |
| 2002 | Very Wet | 3.36 | Wet | 1.13 | 4.49 |
| 2003 | Normal | 1.87 | Normal | 1.13 | 3.00 |
| 2004 | Normal | 1.82 | Wet | 1.17 | 2.99 |
| 2005 | Dry | 1.09 | Dry | 0.97 | 2.06 |
| 2006 | Wet | 2.45 | Normal | 1.05 | 3.50 |
| 2007 | Normal | 1.81 | Wet | 1.12 | 2.93 |
| 2008 | ` Normal | 1.80 | Normal | 1.12 | 2.92 |
| 2009 | Dry | 1.06 | Normal | 1.08 | 2.14 |
| 2010 | Normal | 1.79 | Very Dry | Q.83 | 2.62 |
| 2011 | Very Wet | 3.26 | Normal | 0.50 | 3.76 |
| 2012 | Dry | 1.06 | Dry | 0.89 | 1.95 |
| 2013 | Dry | 1.04 | Dry | 0.18 | 1.22 |
| 2014 | Normal | 1.78 | Dry | 0.17 | 1.95 |
| 2015 | Dry | 1.03 | Wet | 0.57 | 1.60 |
| 2016 | Wet | 2.39 | Normal | 1.10 | 3.49 |
| 2017 | Wet | 2.38 | Normal | 1.04 | 3.42 |
| 2018 | Normal | 1.76 | Normal | 1.04 | 2.80 |
| 2019 | Wet | 2.37 | Dry | 0.88 | 3.25 |
| 2020 | Normal | 1.75 | Dry | 0.16 | 1.91 |

Table 8. Projected Yearly Flows Entering the Aral Sea (Unit: km³).

as-usual" projections. Policy scenarios will include actions in three areas: changing demand patterns through efficiency .improvement and economic reorientation, better managing the existing system and developing new local water sources. Each of these categories of intervention encompass many separate measures, such as pricing policies, investment strategies, and technological and operational options. For example, irrigation efficiency can in principle be improved through various technologies (sprinkler, drip, or trickle systems), through improved water application scheduling or through land leveling and contouring.The feasibility of any or all of these in the Aral region is being studied in detail.

It is' noted that our study has focused on the potential for local solutions to address the problems of the region. ,Nonlocal water supply enhancements considered in the past include artificially increasing rainfall, increasing the rate of glacial melting, trans-

These exercises provide a laboratory for experimenting with alternative futures

ferring Caspian Sea water and transferring Siberian river water. Each of these proposals has met with great concern about environmental impacts. Moreover, critics of the most advanced of these proposals, the north-south Siberian water transfer, have raised questions about the cost-effectiveness of such a largescale project, the politics of inter-republic resource transfers and the impacts on local cultures. The project is currently suspended.

We anticipate many alternative scenarios, each evaluated on economic and environmental criteria. The scenarios will begin with the **business-as-usual scenario** reported here that quantifies the degree of water shortages over time, the increasing pressure on the lake, and the scale of required remedial efforts and go on to an **Aral Sea stabilization scenario** (just stabilizing the sea requires significant improvements in today's water-use efficiency and local supplies); and an **Aral Sea restoration scenario** requiring radical changes in the future water and economic strategy for the area in order to return inflow above equilibrium levels. In each case, the feasibility and costs will be assessed.

These exercises provide a laboratory for experimenting with alternative futures for the Aral Sea region. It is hoped that such glimpses of the future will help steer current policies in a sustainable direction.

Projected Monthly Flows Entering the Sea



Figure 5. Projected monthly flows entering the Aral Sea.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We have benefitted from useful discussions and contributions from A.E. Asarin, I.D. Cigelnaya, V. Dukhovny, N.F. Glazovsky, I.G. Horst, A.N. Krenke, Y.M. Malisov, A.V. Meleshko, I? Micklin, I? Rogers, R. Razakov, G.V. Sdasyuk, I.S. Zonn. Any errors or omissions in our analysis are, of course, the responsibility .of the authors alone.

REFERENCES

- 1. Micklin, Philip I?, "Description of the Aral Sea: A Water Management Disaster in the Soviet Union, Science, Vol. 241, 1988, pp. 1171-1176.
- 2. Glazovsky, Nikita F., "The Aral Sea Crisis: the Source, the Current Situation, and the Ways to Solving It, presented at the conference: The Aral Crisis: Causes, Consequences and Ways of Solutions, Nukus, Soviet Karapalpak Republic, U.S.S.R., October 1-7, 1990.
- 3. Kotlyakov, V.M., "The Aral Sea Basin: A Critical Environmental Zone," Environment, January/February, 1991.

- 4. white, Gilbert E, "Editorial: A Lesson from the Aral Sea:' *Environment*, January/February, 199 1. recoda, Norman, "Requiem for the Aral Sea,"
- 5. Precoda, Norman, AMBZO, Vol. 20, May, 1991.
- 6. Stockholm Environment Institute Boston Center, User Guide for WEAP Version 91.10. September, 1991.
- 7. Zhitomirkaya, O.M., Climatological Description of the Aral Sea Region, Hydro-Meteorological Publisher, Leningrad, U.S.S.R., 1964.
- 8. SREDÄZHYPROVODHLOPOK, Advanced Scheme of Complex Use and Preservation of Water and Land **Resources of Amudar'ya River – General Principles**, Tashkent, U.S.S.R., 1984
- 9. SREDAZHYPROVODHLOPOK, Advanced Scheme of **Complex Use and Preservation of Water and Land** Resources of Syrdar'va River – General Principles. Tashkent, U.S.S.R., 1987.
- 10. SOYUZHYPROVODHOZ, Scheme of Complex Use and Preservation of Water and Land Resources of Aral Sea Basin – General Principles, Moscow, U.S.S.R., 1989 and 1990.
- 11. U.S.S.R. Department of the Census, Statistical Yearbook of Republics, Moscow, U.S.S.R., 1987.
- 12. SREDAZHYPROVODHLOPOK, Crop Irrigation Rates for Amudar'ya and Syrdar'ya Basins, Tashkent, U.S.S.R., 1970.



Figure 6. Base case projection for the Aral Sea.

- U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, "Farm and Ranch Irrigation Survey (1988)," 1987 Census of Agriculture, Vol. 3, Part 1, May 1990.
- U.S.S.R. Department of Hydro-meteorology, *Hydro-logical Yearbook*, Obninsk, U.S.S.R., 1979-1987.
- Chembarisov, E.I. and B.A. Bahritdinov, *Hydrochemistry of River and Drainage Water in Central Asia*, Ukituvchy, Tashkent, U.S.S.R., 1989.
- SAO-HYDROPROEKT, Operational Rules of Nurek Reservoirs on Vahsh River; Tashkent, U.S.S.R., 1989.
- SAO-HYDROPROEKT, "Rogun Hydropower Station on the Vahsh River," *Technical Project*, Vol. 2, Part 1, Tashkent, U.S.S.R., 1972.
- SAO-HYDROPROEKT, "Tuyamuyun Gate on Amudar'ya River," *Technical Project*, Vol. 2, Part 1, Tashkent, U.S.S.R., 1978.
- Howe, C.W. and EP. Linaweaver, "The Impact of Price on Residential Water Demand and Its Relation to System Design and Price Structure," *Water Resources Research*, Vol. 3, 1967, pp. 13-32.
- 20. Boland, John J. et al., An Assessment of Municipal and Industrial Water Use Forecasting Approach, A report

submitted to the Institute for Water Resources, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, May 1981.

- Davis, William Y. et al., IWR-MAIN Water Use Forecasting System, Version 5.1, User's Manual and System Description, Prepared for the Institute for Water Resources, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, December 1987.
- Kindler, J. and C.S. Russell, edited with B.T. Bower et al., Modelling Water Demands, Academic Press, London, 1984.
- Strzepek, K.M., L.A. Garcia, and T.M. Over, *MITSIM* 2.1, River Basin Simulation, Vol. I, User Manual, Center for Advanced Decision Support for Water and Environmental Systems, University of Colorado, 1989.
- Loucks, D.P. and K.A. Salewicz, *IRIS, An Interactive River System Simulation Program, General Intro*duction and Description, November 1989.
- 25. Hydrologic Engineering Center, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, *HEC-5 Simulation for Flood Control and Conservation Systems, User Manual,* 1982.
- 26. Hydrologic Engineering Center, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, HEC-3 Reservoir System Analysis for Conservation, Programmers Manual, 1976.

6