



Public Participation in International Water Law

Melvin Woodhouse

1

January 2002

International Water Law Research Institute

Where do we find Public Participation in International Water Law ?
Is It Essential ?
Why is it Controversial ?
What are the Legal Implications ?
Hypothesis

Overview

Is Public Participation Essential?

State practice suggests that participation is increasingly important,

Declarations

Iqual 1992 <u>Rio Declaration</u> Principle 10. "Environmental issues are best handled with the participation of all concerned citizens ..." 31 I.L.M. 876

Conventions

Aarhus Convention Done June 1998, entered into force Oct 2001. 17 parties / 28 signatories.

Aarhus Convention (1)



Aarhus Convention (2)

Ratification, Acceptance Approval Accession

 Albania, Armenia, Azerbaijan, Belarus, Denmark, Estonia, Georgia, Hungary, Italy, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Republic of Moldova, Romania, Tajikistan, The Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, Turkmenistan, Ukraine.

Signatories

 Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, European Community, Finland, France, Germany, Greece,
 Iceland, Ireland, Latvia, Liechtenstein, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Monaco, Netherlands,
 Norway, Poland, Portugal, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, United Kingdom.

International Water Law Research Institute

January 2002

5

There are three components
 Access to Information
 Access to Decision Making
 Access to Justice

NGO's have international legal personality.

Information to be made available for specified activities, the access to decision making and justice is related to the information provided about those activities.

Aarhus Convention (3)

Why is Public Participation Controversial ?

What proof is there that it leads to sustainable development?

Different Treaties contain different obligations for a State...is this a conflict?

Is Public Participation a substantive rule or a procedure?

What are the legal implications? (1)

Public Participation is a means of self determination which legitimizes the authority of a state.

It is increasingly a political and technical procedure evident in the conduct of relationships between states. What are the legal implications? (2)

Can Public Participation improve the role of the state in informing, legitimizing and moderating State practice?

Will it strengthen or weaken the authority of the State?

January 2002

9

 States consent to Public Participation procedures as they are a means to arrive at better informed, legitimate state practice.

Hypothesis

- This contributes to the international legal personality of the State and the maintenance of the international legal order.
- Public Participation is an emerging rule of state conduct.